

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,015	10/31/2003	Takashi Nakamura	101154-00014	7149
. 4372	7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER	
ARENT FOX PLLC 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			METZMAIER, DANIEL S	
SUITE 400	ECTICOT AVENUE, N.V	v .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHING	TON, DC 20036		1712	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>~</i>				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/698,015	NAKAMURA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>une 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	ut(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/20/2005</u> .	6) Other:					
Data - 4 T	Indemark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-6 are pending. Claims 1-5 have been withdrawn from consideration on the merits as directed to a non-elected invention. Claim 6 has been considered on the merits.

Response to Amendment – Election by original presentation

1. Newly submitted claims 1-5 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: the compositions employing molten metal is deemed to be a patentably distinct invention from the foamable powders originally presented. To the extent the carbonate would even exist in combination with the molten metal, said carbonates would be in substantially a different form than the carbonates as foamable powders set forth in the claims as originally presented.

The amendment filed on June 20, 2005 amends claims 1-3 and newly presenting claims 4-5 drawn to a non-elected invention.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 1-5 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the reference cited but not provided in the IDS, JP 2,898,437, is cited in paragraphs [0002] and [0003]

Application/Control Number: 10/698,015 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

of the instant specification. A database search in Derwent Abstracts, and JPO databases fails to provide a published reference corresponding to said citation.

Applicants should check said citation for correctness of the patent number and/or provide an explanation of the source of the subject matter referenced therein.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hahn et al, US 5,401,568. Hahn et al (column 3, lines 45-53; examples) disclose calcium carbonate or magnesium carbonate particles coated with silica, i.e., SiO₂. The limitation of the material as a foaming agent would have been inherent to the Hahn et al reference powders since the powders are otherwise indistinct from the claims and carbonate are well known to decompose at elevated temperatures to form carbon dioxide gas.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/698,015

Art Unit: 1712

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel S. Metzmaie Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712

DSM